

Farmington 2022 Composite
1928 Ad Hoc Committee Community Survey

Q1 - 1. Without knowing associated costs or many details, which of the following best reflects your view regarding the

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	These old High School buildings should be torn down (with th	205	20.6	20.6	20.6
	We should look at retaining some of these buildings for need	733	73.5	73.7	94.3
	Unsure / Don't know	57	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	995	99.8	100.0	
Missing	System	2	.2		
Total		997	100.0		

Q2 - 2. Some residents see the history and value in keeping the original Farmington High School (1928 Building on the

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support retaining 1928 Building	545	54.7	54.7	54.7
	Somewhat support retaining 1928 Building	230	23.1	23.1	77.8
	Somewhat oppose retaining 1928 Building	67	6.7	6.7	84.5
	Strongly oppose retaining 1928 Building	130	13.0	13.1	97.6
	Unsure / Don't know	24	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	996	99.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.1		
Total		997	100.0		

Q3 - 3. A 2021 estimate to renovate and preserve the old, original 1928 Farmington High School Building to house munic

		Frequenc y	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support retaining 1928 Building	403	40.4	40.5	40.5
	Somewhat support retaining 1928 Building	250	25.1	25.1	65.6
	Somewhat oppose retaining 1928 Building	104	10.4	10.5	76.1
	Strongly oppose retaining 1928 Building	204	20.5	20.5	96.6
	Unsure / Don't know	34	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	995	99.8	100.0	
Missing	System	2	.2		
Total		997	100.0		

Q4 - 4. There exists the potential for Federal Government American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds to pay a portion of th

		Frequenc y	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support retaining 1928 Building	547	54.9	55.0	55.0
	Somewhat support retaining 1928 Building	205	20.6	20.6	75.6
	Somewhat oppose retaining 1928 Building	90	9.0	9.0	84.6
	Strongly oppose retaining 1928 Building	134	13.4	13.5	98.1
	Unsure / Don't know	19	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	995	99.8	100.0	
Missing	System	2	.2		
Total		997	100.0		

\$Q5 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of
		N	Percent	Cases
Reasons Opposed ^a	Q5-5. What are reasons that you would oppose retaining the 1928 Building? Please indicate all that apply. - Not willi	152	27.8%	67.3%
	Q5-5. What are reasons that you would oppose retaining the 1928 Building? Please indicate all that apply. - No need f	84	15.4%	37.2%
	Q5-5. What are reasons that you would oppose retaining the 1928 Building? Please indicate all that apply. - It is not	141	25.8%	62.4%
	Q5-5. What are reasons that you would oppose retaining the 1928 Building? Please indicate all that apply. - Can be us	117	21.4%	51.8%
	Q5-5. What are reasons that you would oppose retaining the 1928 Building? Please indicate all that apply. - Not enoug	11	2.0%	4.9%
	Q5-5. What are reasons that you would oppose retaining the 1928 Building? Please indicate all that apply. - Other	42	7.7%	18.6%
	Total		547	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

\$Q6 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
Potential 1928 Uses ^a	Q6-6. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1928 Building. Whether you support or oppose – Relocating the probate	366	20.3%	36.8%
	Q6-6. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1928 Building. Whether you support or oppose – Relocating the social	582	32.2%	58.5%
	Q6-6. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1928 Building. Whether you support or oppose – Community use	637	35.3%	64.0%
	Q6-6. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1928 Building. Whether you support or oppose -- None of these	139	7.7%	14.0%
	Q6-6. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1928 Building. Whether you support or oppose -- Unsure	82	4.5%	8.2%
Total		1806	100.0%	181.5%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Q8 - 8. Earlier in the survey, the 1952 and the 1978 Buildings were also mentioned as potentially being retained to ke

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	We should look at retaining these buildings 1952 and 1978 in	567	56.9	56.9	56.9
	All buildings should be demolished, including the 1928 Build	137	13.7	13.8	70.7
	These additional buildings should be demolished, with the ex	216	21.7	21.7	92.4
	Unsure / Don't know	76	7.6	7.6	100.0
	Total	996	99.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.1		
Total		997	100.0		

Q9 - 9. There, again, exists the potential for Federal Government American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds to pay a porti

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support retaining the 1952 and 1978 Buildings	343	34.4	34.4	34.4
	Somewhat support retaining 1952 and 1978 Buildings	273	27.4	27.4	61.8
	Somewhat oppose retaining 1952 and 1978 Buildings	118	11.8	11.8	73.7
	Strongly oppose retaining 1952 and 1978 Buildings	201	20.2	20.2	93.9
	Unsure / Don't know	61	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	996	99.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.1		
Total		997	100.0		

\$Q10 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
Potential 1952 and 1978 Uses ^a	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Relocating the probate	248	8.6%	24.9%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Relocating the social ser	381	13.3%	38.3%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Relocating the Town Hall	348	12.1%	35.0%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Keeping the gyms	645	22.5%	64.8%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Relocating the non-senior	370	12.9%	37.2%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Space for additional Town	196	6.8%	19.7%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – Community use	504	17.6%	50.7%
	Q10-10. The following ideas are potential uses of the 1952 and 1978 Buildings if they are retained (kept) in addition – None of these	179	6.2%	18.0%
	Total		2871	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Q12 - 12. Are you registered to vote in the Town of Farmington, Connecticut?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	964	96.7	96.7	96.7
	No	21	2.1	2.1	98.8
	Unsure / Don't know	12	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	997	100.0	100.0	

Q13 - 13. Please indicate the number of years you have lived in Farmington or Unionville, Connecticut.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unsure / Don't know	2	.2	.2	.2
	1	18	1.8	1.8	2.0
	2	23	2.3	2.3	4.3
	3	22	2.2	2.2	6.5
	4	19	1.9	1.9	8.4
	5	25	2.5	2.5	10.9
	6	27	2.7	2.7	13.6
	7	27	2.7	2.7	16.3
	8	22	2.2	2.2	18.6
	9	17	1.7	1.7	20.3
	10	31	3.1	3.1	23.4
	11	34	3.4	3.4	26.8
	12	19	1.9	1.9	28.7
	13	21	2.1	2.1	30.8
	14	26	2.6	2.6	33.4
	15	21	2.1	2.1	35.5
	16	31	3.1	3.1	38.6
	17	21	2.1	2.1	40.7
	18	14	1.4	1.4	42.1
19	21	2.1	2.1	44.2	

20	30	3.0	3.0	47.2
21	16	1.6	1.6	48.8
22	17	1.7	1.7	50.6
23	15	1.5	1.5	52.1
24	15	1.5	1.5	53.6
25	35	3.5	3.5	57.1
26	9	.9	.9	58.0
27	16	1.6	1.6	59.6
28	12	1.2	1.2	60.8
29	4	.4	.4	61.2
30	27	2.7	2.7	63.9
31	18	1.8	1.8	65.7
32	16	1.6	1.6	67.3
33	14	1.4	1.4	68.7
34	11	1.1	1.1	69.8
35	27	2.7	2.7	72.5
36	19	1.9	1.9	74.4
37	10	1.0	1.0	75.4
38	16	1.6	1.6	77.0
39	9	.9	.9	77.9
40	19	1.9	1.9	79.8
41	5	.5	.5	80.3
42	12	1.2	1.2	81.5
43	5	.5	.5	82.0
44	7	.7	.7	82.7
45	16	1.6	1.6	84.4
46	10	1.0	1.0	85.4
47	11	1.1	1.1	86.5
48	5	.5	.5	87.0
49	8	.8	.8	87.8
50	20	2.0	2.0	89.8
51	10	1.0	1.0	90.8
52	5	.5	.5	91.3
53	4	.4	.4	91.7
54	4	.4	.4	92.1
55	11	1.1	1.1	93.2
56	3	.3	.3	93.5
57	4	.4	.4	93.9

58	6	.6	.6	94.5
59	2	.2	.2	94.7
60	12	1.2	1.2	95.9
61	4	.4	.4	96.3
62	4	.4	.4	96.7
63	3	.3	.3	97.0
65	7	.7	.7	97.7
66	2	.2	.2	97.9
68	2	.2	.2	98.1
70	2	.2	.2	98.3
71	2	.2	.2	98.5
72	2	.2	.2	98.7
73	2	.2	.2	98.9
74	1	.1	.1	99.0
75	3	.3	.3	99.3
79	3	.3	.3	99.6
80	1	.1	.1	99.7
82	1	.1	.1	99.8
83	1	.1	.1	99.9
87	1	.1	.1	100.0
Total	997	100.0	100.0	

Q14 - 14. Please tell me your age?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	19	1.9	1.9	1.9
	25-34	41	4.1	4.1	6.0
	35-44	204	20.5	20.5	26.5
	45-54	241	24.2	24.2	50.7
	55-64	203	20.4	20.4	71.0
	65 or older	257	25.8	25.8	96.8
	Prefer not to answer	32	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	997	100.0	100.0	

\$Q15 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
Children in school ^a	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - No children	127	11.8%	12.8%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Have children not yet of sc	46	4.3%	4.6%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Have children of school age	359	33.4%	36.0%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Have children of school age	22	2.0%	2.2%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Have children who started i	23	2.1%	2.3%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Have older (over 18) childr	406	37.7%	40.8%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Have older (over 18) childr	71	6.6%	7.1%
	Q15-15. Please indicate which of the following best describes the children you may have. - Unsure / Prefer not to answ	22	2.0%	2.2%
	Total	1076	100.0%	108.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Q16 - 16. How do you identify?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Man	334	33.5	33.5	33.5
	Woman	605	60.7	60.7	94.2
	Non-binary	2	.2	.2	94.4
	Prefer not to answer	54	5.4	5.4	99.8
	Prefer to self-describe	2	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	997	100.0	100.0	